PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

©ERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY 0:	PCT
see form PCTISAZZOECEIVED EINGEGANGEN 13. März 2006	WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (PCT Rule 43 <i>bis</i> .1)
TBK - PATENT	Date of mailing (day/month/year) see form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet)
Applicant's or agent's file reference see form PCT/ISA/220	FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraph 2 below
	ate (day/month/year) Priority date (day/month/year)
International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classifica H04L27/26, H04L1/06	ation and IPC
Applicant NOKIA CORPORATION	
☐ Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention	a regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial ations supporting such statement al application national application
the applicant chooses an Authority other than this linternational Bureau under Rule 66.1 bis(b) that wr will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/, whichever expires later.	on is made, this opinion will usually be considered to be a simining Authority ("IPEA"). However, this does not apply where one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notifed the itten opinions of this International Searching Authority be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of three 220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date,
For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220. 3. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.	0.
Frank D	G G G G G G G G G G G G G G G G G G G
Name and mailing address of the ISA:	Authorized Officer
European Patent Office - P.B. 5818 Patentlaan NL-2280 HV Rijswijk - Pays Bas Tel. +31 70 340 - 2040 Tx: 31 651 epo nl Fax: +31 70 340 - 3016	Peilly, D Telephone No. +31 70 340-4532



WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/IB2005/001867

		AP20 Rec'd POTIPTO 10 AUG 2006	
_	Box No	o. I Basis of the opinion	
1.	. With regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.		
	lar	is opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following iguage , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search and 23.1(b)).	
2.	With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:		
	a. type	of material:	
		a sequence listing	
		table(s) related to the sequence listing	
	b. form	at of material:	
		in written format	
		in computer readable form	
	c. time	of filing/furnishing:	
		contained in the international application as filed.	
		filed together with the international application in computer readable form.	
		furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.	
3	ha Co	addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto as been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional opies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as opropriate, were furnished.	
4	. Additio	onal comments:	

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims

1-18

No:

Claims

Yes: Claims

1-18

No:

Claims

Industrial applicability (IA)

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims

1-18

No: Claims

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

PCT/IB2005/001867

Re Item V.

JAP20 Rec'd PCT/PTO 10 AUG 2006

- 1 Reference is made to the following documents:
 - D1: SHOUSHENG HE ET AL: "A new approach to pipeline FFT processor"
 PARALLEL PROCESSING SYMPOSIUM, 1996., PROCEEDINGS OF IPPS '96,
 THE 10TH INTERNATIONAL HONOLULU, HI, USA 15-19 APRIL 1996, LOS
 ALAMITOS, CA, USA, IEEE COMPUT. SOC, US, 15 April 1996 (1996-04-15),
 pages 766-770, XP010165053 ISBN: 0-8186-7255-2
- 2 Document D1, which is considered to represent the most relevant state of the art, discloses (the references in parentheses applying to this document):

A signal processor for Fast Fourier Transformation, FFT, of an input data stream,

a Fast Fourier Transformation device configured to perform Fast Fourier Transformation of a data stream supplied at an input terminal thereof and to output the FFT transformed data stream at an output terminal thereof (fig. 1),

characterized in that

each of the input data stream contains a number of N=2^k samples, the Fast Fourier Transformation device has a pipeline architecture composed of k stages with a respective feedback path including a single delay element per each stage of the pipeline architecture (fig. 1) and is controlled by a first and second internal control signals (figs. 4 & 5),

the delay element in a feedback path of an ith stage of the pipeline architecture imposes a delay of N/2ⁱ samples (fig. 4),

From this, the subject-matter of independent claim 1 differs in that:

claim 1 address multiple parallel data streams, $M_{\rm R}$ and, the first internal control signal is clocked $M_{\rm R}$ times faster compared to a clock rate at which the samples of the $M_{\rm R}$ streams are supplied, and the second internal control signals are clocked $M_{\rm R}$ times slower compared to

International application No.

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

PCT/IB2005/001867

the first internal control signal.

- 2.1 The subject-matter of claim 1 is therefore novel (Article 33(2) PCT)

 The problem to be solved by the present invention may be regarded as:

 How perform an FFT on parallel received streams.
- 2.2 The solution to this problem proposed in claim 1 of the present application is considered as involving an inventive step (Article 33(3) PCT) for the following reasons:

The parallel streams are first multiplexed before performing the FFT unlike the method of performing an FFT on each of the streams individually as is known to the person skilled in the art.

- 3 A similar argument as in section 2 of the opinion applies to related independent claims 10 and 17.
- 4 Claims 2-9 are dependent on claim 1, claims 11-16 are dependent on claim 10 and claim 18 is dependent on claim 17, and as such also meet the requirements of the PCT with respect to novelty and inventive step.